GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA <u>ABSTRACT</u>

Public Servants – PR&RD Dept. – Allegations of irregularities in drawing of bill for construction of (18) PYKKA Buildings, District Sports Authority (DSA) Mahabubnagar against Sri M. Chinnaiah, the then Deputy Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Sub-Division Kodangal and presently working as Deputy Executive Engineer, PRSD Panagal - Disciplinary proceedings initiated under Rule 20 of Telangana Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 – Articles of charges – Issued.

PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT (VIG.I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 501 Dated: 29-08-2019

ORDER:

It is proposed to hold an enquiry against Sri M. Chinnaiah, the then Deputy Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Sub-Division Kodangal and presently working as Deputy Executive Engineer, PRSD Panagal, in accordance with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of the Telangana Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991.

- 2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior in respect of which the enquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of article of charges (Annexure-I). A list of documents by which and a list of witnesses by whom, the article of charges are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure-II&III).
- 3. Sri M. Chinnaiah, the then Deputy Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Sub-Division Kodangal and presently working as Deputy Executive Engineer, PRSD Panagal, is directed to submit his written statement of his defence within ten (10) days from the date of receipt of this order.
- 4. Sri M. Chinnaiah, the then Deputy Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Sub-Division Kodangal and presently working as Deputy Executive Engineer, PRSD Panagal, is informed that an enquiry will be held only in respect of articles of those charges as are not admitted. He should, therefore, specifically admit or deny each article of charge.
- 5. Sri M. Chinnaiah, the then Deputy Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Sub-Division Kodangal and presently working as Deputy Executive Engineer, PRSD Panagal, is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defence on or before the Period specified in Para (3) above or otherwise fails or refuses to comply with the provisions of Rule 20 of Telangana Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 or the orders / directions issued in pursuance of the said rules, the Inquiring Authority may hold the inquiry against him ex-parte.
- 6. Sri M. Chinnaiah, the then Deputy Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Sub-Division Kodangal and presently working as Deputy Executive Engineer, PRSD Panagal, is invited to Rule 24 of the Telangana Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964, under which no Government Servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further his interest in respect of matters pertaining to his service under the Government. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of any matter dealt within these proceedings, it will be presumed that Sri M. Chinnaiah, the then Deputy Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Sub-Division Kodangal and presently working as Deputy Executive Engineer, PRSD Panagal, is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violation of Rule-24 of the Telangana Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964.
- 7. The receipt of this G.O. shall be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF TELANGANA)

VIKAS RAJ PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Sri M. Chinnaiah, the then Deputy Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Sub-Division

(P.T.O)

::2::

Kodangal and presently working as Deputy Executive Engineer, PRSD Panagal

https://executive.com/html/>
https://executive.com/html/
html/
htm

The Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad.

(in duplicate with a request to serve and return the dated acknowledgement copy) Copy to:

The Secretary to VC, TVC, Hyderabad.

The Director General, General Administration (V&E) Department, BRKR Building, Hyderabad.

(w.r.t. Vigilance Report No.103 (298/V&E/EIII/2017, dt:27/10/2018) SF/SC

//FORWARDED ::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE -I

(Annexure to G.O.Rt.No.501, PR&RD (Vig.I) Dept., dt.29.08.2019)

STATEMENT SHOWING THE ARTICLE OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI M.CHINNAIAH, THE THEN DEE PR SD KODANGAL AND PRESENTLY WORKING AS DEE SD PANAGAL.

CHARGE-I:-

That Sri M.Chinnaiah, the then DEE PR SD Kodangal and presently working as DEE SD Panagal the work was executed after the agreement period without valid permission.

BASIS:

The V&E Department made the following observations in their Vigilance Report No. 103 (298/V&E /E III/2017)/C.No.540/V&E/D2/2016), dt.27.10.2018 in respect of the works of C/O PYKKA Building at Sarjakhanpet, Chennaram, Mirjapur, Chendravancha, Togapur and Bogaram.

Work No.1

1	Name of the Work	C/o PYKKA Building at Sarjakhanpet,
2	Location of the work	Sarjakhanpet (V), Kosgi (M)
3	Estimate Cost Rs.in lakhs	1.00
4	Grant	PYKKA
5	Period provided in Agreement	3 months from the Date of
		Agreement 19.6.2012.
6	Date of completion of work as per original	18.09.2012
	Agreement.	
7	Date of commencement of work/	14.01.2013
	Recording.	
8	Last Date of check measurement of work	04.03.2013

Work No.2

1	Name of the Work	C/o PYKKA Building at Chennaram
2	Location of the work	Chennaram (V), Kosgi (M)
3	Estimate Cost Rs. in lakhs	1.00
4	Grant	PYKKA
5	Period provided in Agreement	3 months from the Date of
		Agreement 31.07.2012.
6	Date of completion of work as per original	30.10.2012
	Agreement.	
7	Date of commencement of work/	Nil
	Recording.	
8	Last Date of check measurement of work	04.05.2013

Work No.3

1	Name of the Work	C/o PYKKA Building at Mirjapur
2	Location of the work	Mirjapur (V), Kosgi (M)
3	Estimate Cost Rs.in lakhs	1.00
4	Grant	PYKKA
5	Period provided in Agreement	3 months from the Date of
		Agreement 14.09.2012
6	Date of completion of work as per original	13.12.2012
	Agreement.	
7	Date of commencement of work/	03.04.2013
	Recording.	
8	Last Date of check measurement of work	09.08.2013

(P.T.O)

Work No.4

1	Name of the Work	C/o PYKKA Building at
		Chendravancha
2	Location of the work	Chendravancha (V), Kosgi (M)
3	Estimate Cost Rs.in lakhs	1.00
4	Grant	PYKKA
5	Period provided in Agreement	3 months from the Date of
		Agreement 03.08.2012.
6	Date of completion of work as per original	02.11.2012
	Agreement.	
7	Date of commencement of work/	20-11-2012
	Recording.	
8	Last Date of check measurement of work	11.02.2013

Work No.5

1	Name of the Work	C/o PYKKA Building at Togapur
2	Location of the work	Togapur (v), Kosgi (M)
3	Estimate Cost Rs.in lakhs	1.00
4	Grant	PYKKA
5	Period provided in Agreement	3 months from the Date of
		Agreement 14.05.2012.
6	Date of completion of work as per original	13.08.2012
	Agreement.	
7	Date of commencement of work/	01-06-2013
	Recording.	
8	Last Date of check measurement of work	11-07-2013

Work No.6

1	Name of the Work	C/o PYKKA Building at Bogaram
2	Location of the work	Bogaram (v), Kosgi (M)
3	Estimate Cost Rs.in lakhs	1.00
4	Grant	PYKKA
5	Period provided in Agreement	3 months from the Date of
		Agreement 27.01.2012.
6	Date of completion of work as per original	26.04.2012
	Agreement.	
7	Date of commencement of work/	25-07-2012
	Recording.	
8	Last Date of check measurement of work	check measurement was not done

As per the above it is crystal clear that, the said DEE has executed the works of PYKAA building after the agreement period without valid permission, which should have been completed within (3) months from date of grant, are check measured by him.

Thus, Sri M.Chinnaiah, the then DEE PR SD Kodangal and presently working as DEE SD Panagal Mahabubnagar district has failed to discharge his legitimate duties properly. Thus his action is in violation of Rule (3) of T.S.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Hence, the Charge.

(Contd.. on page..3)

CHARGE-II:

That Sri M.Chinnaiah, the then DEE PR SD Kodangal and presently working as DEE SD Panagal seigniorage charges are not included in the Technical sanctioned Estimate and not recovered in bills.

BASIS:

The V&E Department made the following observations in their Vigilance Report No. 103 (298/V&E /E III/2017)/C.No.540/V&E/D2/2016), dt.27.10.2018 in respect of the works of C/O PYKKA Building at Sarjakhanpet, Chennaram, Mirjapur, Chendravancha, Togapur and Bogaram Villages.

The Seignorage charges are not provided in the technical sanctions except in Mirjapur estimate but the payment was made to the above all works without deducting the seigniorage charges in the M.Book. Due to which financial loss caused to Government.

Thus, Sri M.Chinnaiah, the then DEE PR SD Kodangal and presently working as DEE SD Panagal has failed to discharge his legitimate duties properly. Thus his action is in violation of Rule (3) of T.S.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Hence, the Charge.

CHARGE-III:

That Sri M.Chinnaiah, the then DEE PR SD Kodangal and presently working as DEE SD Panagal the excess payment was made to the Contractor by recording excess measurements.

BASIS:

The V&E Department made the following observations in their Vigilance Report No. 103 (298/V&E /E III/2017)/C.No.540/V&E/D2/2016), dt.27.10.2018 in respect of the all the (5) works:

Work No.1: C/O PYKKA Building at Sarjakhanpet location of the work is Sarjakhanpet (V), Kosgi (M) and Estimate cost Rs.1.00 lakh. An excess payment was made to the contractor for an amount of Rs.6,623/- towards the difference in measurements.

Works No.2: C/O PYKKA Building at Chennaram location of the work is Chennaram (V), Kosgi (M) and Estimate cost Rs.1.00 lakh. An excess payment was made to the contractor for an amount of Rs.5,106/- towards the difference in measurements.

<u>Works No.3:</u> C/O PYKKA Building at Mirjapur location of the work is Mirjapur (V), Kosgi (M) and Estimate cost Rs.1.00 lakh. An excess payment was made to the contractor for an amount of Rs.1,985/- towards the difference in measurements.

Works No.4: C/O PYKKA Building at Chendravancha location of the work is Chendravancha (V), Kosgi (M) and Estimate cost Rs.1.00 lakh. An excess payment was made to the contractor for an amount of Rs.5,050/- towards the difference in measurements.

Works No.5: C/O PYKKA Building at Togapur location of the work is Togapur (V), Kosgi (M) and Estimate cost Rs.1.00 lakh. An excess payment was made to the contractor for an amount of Rs.8,499/- towards the difference in measurements.

The above (5) works were check measured by him.

Work No.6: C/O PYKKA Building at Bogaram location of the work is Bogaram (V), Kosgi (M) and estimate cost Rs.1.00 lakh., an excess payment was made to the contractor for an amount of Rs of Rs.50,681/- towards the difference in measurements.

(P.T.O)

Which comes a total of Rs.6623+5106+1985+5050+8499+50,681=77944/-

Thus, Sri M.Chinnaiah, the then DEE PR SD Kodangal and presently working as DEE SD Panagal has failed to discharge his legitimate duties properly. Thus his action is in violation of Rule (3) of T.S.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Hence, the Charge.

CHARGE-IV:

That Sri M.Chinnaiah, the then DEE PR SD Kodangal and presently working as DEE SD Panagal IT, EMD, FSD amounts were not deducted from the bill.

BASIS:

The V&E Department made the following observations in their Vigilance Report No. 103 (298/V&E /E III/2017)/C.No.540/V&E/D2/2016), dt.27.10.2018 in respect of the all the (6) viz.,

Work No.1: C/O PYKKA Building at Sarjakhanpet location of the work is Sarjakhanpet (V), Kosgi (M).

Works No.2: C/O PYKKA Building at Chennaram location of the work is Chennaram (V), Kosgi (M).

Works No.3: C/O PYKKA Building at Mirjapur location of the work is Mirjapur (V), Kosgi (M).

Works No.4: C/O PYKKA Building at Chendravancha location of the work is Chendravancha (V), Kosgi (M).

Works No.5: C/O PYKKA Building at Togapur location of the work is Togapur (V), Kosgi (M).

Works No.6: C/O PYKKA Building at Togapur location of the work is Bogaram (V), Kosgi (M).

In all the above (6) works Income tax, EMD & FSD amounts were not deducted, there by financial loss caused to Govern ment.

Thus, Sri M.Chinnaiah, the then DEE PR SD Kodangal and presently working as DEE SD Panagal has failed to discharge his legitimate duties properly. Thus his action is in violation of Rule (3) of T.S.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Hence, the Charge.

VIKAS RAJ PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR&RD)

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE -II

(Annexure to G.O.Rt.No.501, PR&RD (Vig.I) Dept., dt.29.08.2019)

LIST OF THE DOCUMENTS BY WHICH THE ARTICLE OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI M.CHINNAIAH, THE THEN DEE PR SD KODANGAL AND PRESENTLY WORKING AS DEE, PRSD PANAGAL ARE PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED.

Vigilance Report No. 103 (298/V&E /E III/2017)/C.No.540/V&E/D2/2016), dt.27.10.2018

VIKAS RAJ PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR&RD)

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE - III

(Annexure to G.O.Rt.No.501, PR&RD (Vig.I) Dept., dt.29.08.2019)

LIST OF WITNESSES BY WHOM THE ARTICLE OF CHARGES FRAMED SRI M.CHINNAIAH, THE THEN DEE PR SD KODANGAL AND PRESENTLY WORKING AS DEE, PRSD PANAGAL ARE PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED.

-NIL-

VIKAS RAJ PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR&RD)

SECTION OFFICER